North Carolina State University - ClassEval Report
Emplid: 000960068 Dinterman Robert
Term: 2010 Fall Principles Of Macro EC 202 005 Courses surveyed - EC 202

Course Information					
Course: EC 202 005	203001				
Courses evaluated: EC 202					
Title: Principles Of Macro					
Undergraduate Course					
Dagnangage 20 Enrolled 40 Dagn Data 05 00/					

Responses= 38 Enrolled= 40 Resp. Rate= 95.0%

#	Question	Mean	5	4	3	2	1	NA	BL	StDev	SEM	Nq	Dept N	Dept Mean	Dept StDev
1	The instructor stated course objectives/outcomes	4.4	20	16	1	1	0	0	0	0.7	0.11	38	1,427	4.4	0.8
2	The instructor was receptive to students outside the classroom	4.3	16	17	5	0	0	0	0	0.7	0.11	38	1,353	4.3	0.9
3	The instructor explained difficult material well	4.0	12	18	5	2	1	0	0	1.0	0.16	38	1,426	4.1	1.1
4	The instructor was enthusiastic about teaching the course	4.2	13	20	5	0	0	0	0	0.7	0.11	38	1,423	4.4	0.9
5	The instructor was prepared for class	4.5	22	15	0	1	0	0	0	0.6	0.11	38	1,422	4.5	0.7
6	The instructor gave prompt and useful feedback	4.3	17	17	4	0	0	0	0	0.7	0.11	38	1,395	4.3	0.9
7	The instructor effectively used instructional technology	4.2	16	16	4	2	0	0	0	0.8	0.14	38	1,381	4.3	0.9
8	The instructor consistently treated students with respect	4.6	23	15	0	0	0	0	0	0.5	0.08	38	1,420	4.5	0.8
9	Overall, the instructor was an effective teacher	4.3	18	15	4	1	0	0	0	0.8	0.13	38	1,421	4.2	1.1
10	The course readings were valuable aids to learning	4.3	19	13	6	0	0	0	0	0.7	0.12	38	1,390	4.1	1.0
11	The course assignments were valuable aids to learning	4.5	19	18	1	0	0	0	0	0.6	0.09	38	1,388	4.2	0.9
12	This course was intellectually challenging and stimulating	4.4	18	19	0	1	0	0	0	0.6	0.10	38	1,420	4.3	0.9
13	This course improved my knowledge of the subject	4.4	18	18	2	0	0	0	0	0.6	0.10	38	1,419	4.3	0.9
14	Overall, this course was excellent	4.0	13	16	6	2	1	0	0	1.0	0.16	38	1,416	4.0	1.1
15	Lab sessions contributed to mastery of course concepts	4.0	1	0	1	0	0	12	24	1.4	1.00	2			
16	Lab facilities, equipment, supplies, etc. were adequate	4.0	1	0	1	0	0	12	24	1.4	1.00	2			
17	The degree of lab difficulty was appropriate	4.0	1	0	1	0	0	12	24	1.4	1.00	2			
18	Overall, the labs were effective learning experiences	4.0	1	0	1	0	0	12	24	1.4	1.00	2			

Note: Results based on low response rates may not reflect the true class mean. Dept mean is for all undergraduate, or all graduate courses, offered by dept and is weighted by class size.

Emplid: 000960068 Dinterman Robert Term: 2010 Fall Principles Of Macro EC 202 006 Courses surveyed - EC 202

Course Information				
Course: EC 202 006	203001			
Courses evaluated: EC 202				
Title: Principles Of Macro				
Undergraduate Course				
Responses= 38 Enrolled= 40 Resp. Rate= 95.0%				

#	Question	Mean	5	4	3	2	1	NA	BL	StDev	SEM	Nq	Dept N	Dept Mean	Dept StDev
1	The instructor stated course objectives/outcomes	4.4	18	18	2	0	0	0	0	0.6	0.10	38	1,427	4.4	0.8
2	The instructor was receptive to students outside the classroom	4.2	13	19	5	0	0	0	1	0.7	0.11	37	1,353	4.3	0.9
3	The instructor explained difficult material well	4.2	14	18	4	1	0	0	1	0.8	0.12	37	1,426	4.1	1.1
4	The instructor was enthusiastic about teaching the course	4.4	19	14	4	0	0	0	1	0.7	0.11	37	1,423	4.4	0.9
5	The instructor was prepared for class	4.6	22	14	1	0	0	0	1	0.6	0.09	37	1,422	4.5	0.7
6	The instructor gave prompt and useful feedback	4.2	13	18	6	0	0	0	1	0.7	0.12	37	1,395	4.3	0.9
7	The instructor effectively used instructional technology	4.4	17	17	3	0	0	0	1	0.6	0.11	37	1,381	4.3	0.9
8	The instructor consistently treated students with respect	4.5	22	13	2	0	0	0	1	0.6	0.10	37	1,420	4.5	0.8
9	Overall, the instructor was an effective teacher	4.4	17	17	3	0	0	0	1	0.6	0.11	37	1,421	4.2	1.1
10	The course readings were valuable aids to learning	4.1	10	19	6	1	0	0	2	0.8	0.13	36	1,390	4.1	1.0
11	The course assignments were valuable aids to learning	4.4	17	18	1	1	0	0	1	0.7	0.11	37	1,388	4.2	0.9
12	This course was intellectually challenging and stimulating	4.5	19	17	1	0	0	0	1	0.6	0.09	37	1,420	4.3	0.9
13	This course improved my knowledge of the subject	4.5	19	16	2	0	0	0	1	0.6	0.10	37	1,419	4.3	0.9
14	Overall, this course was excellent	4.1	14	14	8	1	0	0	1	0.8	0.14	37	1,416	4.0	1.1
15	Lab sessions contributed to mastery of course concepts	3.8	1	3	2	0	0	13	19	0.8	0.31	6			
16	Lab facilities, equipment, supplies, etc. were adequate	3.8	1	3	2	0	0	13	19	0.8	0.31	6			
17	The degree of lab difficulty was appropriate	3.8	1	3	2	0	0	13	19	0.8	0.31	6			
18	Overall, the labs were effective learning experiences	3.8	1	3	2	0	0	13	19	0.8	0.31	6			

Note: Results based on low response rates may not reflect the true class mean. Dept mean is for all undergraduate, or all graduate courses, offered by dept and is weighted by class size.